

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Chinese in AMOSCI SER, WESTERSTS AND TRAVEMARKS WASHINGTON IN 12431 www.uspto.ges

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 165,034	10 01 1998	RICHARD J. NEELY	KCX-85-(1319	7380
	590 07 08 2002		EXAM	NER
POST OFFICE	MANNING, P.A. EBOX 1449 E, SC 29602-1449		PIERCE, JEREMY R	
GICELTT IEEE, 5	56 2/002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771 DATE MAILED: 07 08 2001	2/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			HCF
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09 165.034	NEELY ET AL
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jeremy R Pierce	1771
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period fo	• •	D DEDLY IC CET TO EVOIDE A	AONTHAS EDOM
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuling reto reply within the set or extended period for reply villeply received by the Office later than three months after add patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).	ATION  37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a location. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of this tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI. It by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
1).	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 17 April 2002 .	
2a)□		b)∑ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practic	or allowance except for formal ma	
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	
4)[-	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-16,27-50 and 59-73</u> is/	are pending in the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊡	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-16,27-50 and 59-73</u> is/a	are rejected.	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
	on Papers		
·	The specification is objected to by the E		
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		
4451-15	Applicant may not request that any object		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed o		JISAPPROVED by the Examiner.
12)	If approved, corrected drawings are requ	, •	
	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	on forming a death conden OF H.O.O.	S 440(-) (-) (5)
l ' .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 0.5.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)ı	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do		No. Control No.
	<u> </u>	ocuments have been received in A	
* 5	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office action</li> </ol>	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	)  The translation of the foreign langue Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	- ·	
Attachmen	_	. ,	<del></del>
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	0-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 29, 2002 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 9, 12, 13, 15, 27-29, 32, 35, 39-42, 44, 48-50, and 59-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alemany et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,834,735).

Alemany et al. teach an absorbent article wherein the deposition region of its absorbent member comprises a storage zone and an acquisition zone having a lower average density and a lower average basis weight per unit area than the storage zone (Abstract). The ratio of the density between the storage zone and acquisition zone is

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

about or greater than 2:1 (column 2, lines 52-60). The web is compressed in the manufacturing process when it is calendared in a roll to effect densifying the storage zone (column 18, lines 3-12). With regard to claim 9, Alemany discloses the basis weights to be between 0.02 and 0.186 g/cm2 (column 13, line 66) for the storage zone and between 0.015 and 0.1 g/cm<sup>2</sup> for the acquisition zone (column 14, line 49), and 1 a/cm² is equal to about 295 oz/yd². With regard to claim 12, Alemany et al. disclose using pulp fibers (column 8, line 14). With regard to claim 13, Alemany et al. disclose using polyester fibers (column 8, line 9). With regard to claim 15, Alemany et al. make the web by airlaying (column 18, line 4). With regard to claims 35 and 40, Alemany et al. disclose the backsheet can be polyethylene tilm (column 5, lines 26-27). With regard to claim 39, the topsheet can comprise a spunbonded web (column 5, line 12), which could be considered the third layer. With regard to claim 41, Alemany et al. disclose the topsheet can be non-woven (column 5, line 11). With regard to claims 42 and 44, Alemany et al. disclose the article can be a disposable diaper or personal care product (column 1, lines 52-53).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1771

5. Claims 43, 62, 64-66, 68-70, 72, and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al.

With regard to claim 43, Alemany et al. do not disclose the articles useful as a wiper product. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the absorbent material disclosed by Alemany et al. as a wiper product, since it is well known within the art that absorbent articles useful in personal care products and diapers can also be employed as a wiper product. With regard to claims 62, 64-66, 68-70, 72, and 73, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include a through-air bonding step in the manufacture of the absorbent product of Alemany et al., since through-air bonding is a well known process in the art of diaper manufacture that increases the strength of the web.

6. Claims 2, 7, 10, 16, 30, 31, 34, 38, 46, 47, 62, 63, 65-67, 69-71, and 73 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al. in view of Newkirk et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5.143,779).

With regard to claims 2, 34, and 38, Alemany et al. do not teach the fabric to comprise a spunbonded web. Newkirk et al. disclose the absorbent layer for disposable diapers can be made from a spunbonded web (column 4, line 33). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to manufacture the absorbent web of Alemany et al. by spunbonding rather than air-laying, since such practice is well known as an alternative in making an absorbent web. With regard to claims 7 and 30, Newkirk et al. disclose using polypropylene (column 4, line 67). With regard to claims 10, 16, 31, 46, and 47 Newkirk et al. teach using crimped fibers offer increased loft in the nonwoven web and

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

bicomponent fibers are easily crimpable (column 4, lines 57-62). With regard to claims 62, 65, 66, 69, 70, and 73, Newkirk et al. further teach through air bonding followed by compressing as a process known in the art (Abstract). With regard to claims 63, 67, and 71, Newkirk et al. teach pattern bonding offers an attractive balance of loft, softness, and strength (column 6, lines 10-18).

7. Claims 3-6, 11, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al. in view of Karami (U.S. Patent No. 4,027,672).

Alemany et al. do not disclose the lower basis weight area and the higher basis weight area to form a repeating pattern of alternating columns. However, this pattern is already known in the art of absorbent webs in diapers. Karami teaches various patterns of densified regions in a nonwoven absorbent pad, including alternating columns (Figure 8) and where the first area surrounds the second area (Figure 5). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the densified patterns disclosed by Karami in the absorbent pad of Alemany et al. in order to derive the absorbing and transporting properties in the patterned web taught by Karami. With regard to claim 6, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include alternating rows of densified regions as well as alternating columns in order to further increase the variance in absorbing and transporting properties of the web. With regard to claim 11, Karami shows the densified and undensified portions to exist in a 1:1 ratio (Figure 8).

8. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al. in view of Karami and Newkirk et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034 Page 6

Art Unit: 1771

The alternating columns feature stands rejected as cited above in view of Karami and the polypropylene fiber features stands rejected as cited above in view of Newkirk et al.

9. Claims 14, 36, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al. in view of Morman (U.S. Patent No. 5,611,879).

Alemany et al. do not disclose the web to be spunbond or meltblown. In the diaper art, absorbent cores are frequently made from spunbonded or meltblown webs, as shown by Morman (column 4, lines 9-14). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the absorbent core of Alemany et al. out of spunbonded or meltblown webs, as a simple matter of choice of manufacturing, as laught by Morman.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy R. Pierce whose telephone number is (703) 605-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7-4:30 and alternate Fridays 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034

Art Unit: 1771

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jeremy R. Pierce

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

July 1, 2002

ELIZABETH M. COLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER